

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/835,863 | 04/16/2001 | Dan A. Steinberg | ACT.001 | 5599 |
| 26086 | 7590 06/02/2003 | | | |
| HALEOS, INC. | | | EXAMINER | |
| 3150 STATE STREET BLACKSBURG, VA 24060 | | | PRASAD, CHANDRIKA | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2839 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/02/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| , | 09/835,863 | STEINBERG ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Chandrika Prasad | 2839 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE! | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | Invil 2001 | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | | accoution as to the marits is | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | г. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept | ited or b)□ objected to by the Exar | miner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro | ved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (t). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | •• | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application. | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e | e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | . , | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5 | 5) Notice of Informal F | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/835,863

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Page 6, lines 2-3: The sentence is incomplete and unclear.
 - Reference numeral 202 has been used to describe both a lid and chip (see page 6, lies 11, 14).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mettler et al.

Mettler (Figures 1-6) shows a waveguide holder having an optical integrated circuit chip (OICC) 10 with a substrate 100 with a structure with a plurality of waveguides 16 disposed in an opening and a lid 150 disposed on the substrate. Mettler also shows another OICC 20 with a substrate 200 with a plurality of waveguides 26 in an opening and a lid 250 adhesively mounted on the substrate wherein an edge of the lid and the substrate define a depression on one side and similar one on the other side at the ends of the waveguides. Mettler further shows another cover 300 with alignment recesses 33 and positioning members 25 disposed in the alignment recesses.

5. Claims 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakamura et al.

Nakamura (Figures 1-19) shows a waveguide holder having a substrate 60 with a structure with a plurality of waveguides 57 disposed in an opening. The substrate has a plurality of recesses 59 and a lid 62 with complimentary recesses 63 and positioning members 61 disposed in the recesses. An edge of the lid and the substrate define a depression on one side and similar one on the other side at the ends of the waveguides.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watanabe.

Watanabe (Figures 1-7) shows a waveguide holder with a substrate 51 with a plurality of waveguides disposed therein and a lid 52 disposed on the waveguides wherein the lid has overhangs on at least two sides, which form depression with edges of the substrate.

Art Unit: 2839

Contact Information

Page 4

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (703) 308-0977.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached at (703) 308-2710. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9318 (general) and (703) 872-9319 for after-final.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or processing should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Chandrika Prasad Patent examiner May 27, 2003